

CITY OF NEW BEDFORD

APPLICATION FOR LAYING BUILDING SEWER AND/OR DRAIN SERVICE

Application No 20359
D.J. 15

11/14/78
Date

To the Mayor and City Council:

I hereby request that a building sewer and/or drain be laid for the premises at W. Side West Hill Rd. 20'± N. of Bayberry Rd.
Insp. Only - Cont. to perf. all work - No Ent. Fee
to connect with the sewer/drain in West Hill Road street,
the same to be laid in the street and the surface repaired as directed by the Commissioner of Public Works.

I hereby agree to pay at the office of the City Treasurer all cost of performing the above work in the manner specified, in excess of the deposit made herewith, within 10 days after the completion of the work.

It is understood and agreed that the deposit made with this application shall be applied to the payment of the cost of performing the work done, and that any excess of deposit over the cost shall be returned to me by the City Treasurer.

Witness

Jane Furness

[Signature]
Signature of Property Owner

[Signature]
Address

Telephone No.

Plumber's Name

Requires separate entrance
for roof water

Address

Permits to Industrial and/or Commercial Applicants:

Permits can be issued to industrial and/or commercial applicants only upon receipt by the Commissioner of Public Works of such supplemental information as may be required by him concerning the quality and quantity of the proposed wastewater discharge.

This information was submitted by

Signature of Applicant (owner)

and approved by the Commissioner of Public Works on

Date

Commissioner of Public Works

Estimate and Deposit Requirement

Office of Commissioner of Public Works, 11/14/78

My estimate of the cost of laying the building sewer and/or drain described in the above application is

Assessed

Entrance charges

Total deposit required

\$1500 Insp. Only

George H. Brightman
Commissioner of Public Works

Certificate of Issue

Office of City Clerk, 11/14/78

The above application, accompanied by City Treasurer's receipt presented this day; whereupon Permit No. 20359 was issued, and the Commissioner of Public Works notified of the same. D.J. 15

David R. Nelson

City Clerk

Laid from main in street to property line.
Owner agrees to supply all necessary materials and TRANSITE pipe.

NO. 1037

The following is a summary of the facts and circumstances which have led to the investigation of the American Lumber Co. by the Department of Justice. The American Lumber Co. is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, and has a capital stock of \$1,000,000. It is one of the largest lumber companies in the United States, and its products are sold in all parts of the country. The company has a long history of successful operation, and its products are well known throughout the world. However, in recent years, the company has been accused of engaging in a series of practices which are alleged to be in violation of the antitrust laws. These practices include the following:

1. The company has been accused of engaging in a conspiracy with other lumber companies to fix prices and restrict output. This is alleged to have been done in order to maintain artificially high prices for their products, and to prevent competition from entering the market.

2. The company has been accused of engaging in a series of predatory practices, including the purchase of large quantities of raw material at low prices, and the subsequent resale of the finished product at high prices. This is alleged to have been done in order to drive smaller competitors out of business, and to maintain a monopoly in the market.

3. The company has been accused of engaging in a series of discriminatory practices, including the sale of its products at different prices to different customers, and the granting of exclusive contracts to certain customers. This is alleged to have been done in order to favor certain customers over others, and to restrict competition.

4. The company has been accused of engaging in a series of anti-competitive practices, including the purchase of large quantities of raw material at low prices, and the subsequent resale of the finished product at high prices. This is alleged to have been done in order to drive smaller competitors out of business, and to maintain a monopoly in the market.

5. The company has been accused of engaging in a series of anti-competitive practices, including the purchase of large quantities of raw material at low prices, and the subsequent resale of the finished product at high prices. This is alleged to have been done in order to drive smaller competitors out of business, and to maintain a monopoly in the market.

The Department of Justice has received numerous complaints from customers and competitors of the American Lumber Co. regarding these practices, and has conducted a thorough investigation of the company's operations. The investigation has revealed a large amount of evidence which supports the allegations of anti-competitive behavior. This evidence includes a large number of documents, including contracts, invoices, and correspondence, which show the company's pricing policies and its relationships with its customers and competitors. The evidence also includes a large number of affidavits from customers and competitors, which describe the company's practices and the harm that they have caused. The Department of Justice has concluded that the American Lumber Co. has engaged in a series of practices which are in violation of the antitrust laws, and has filed a complaint against the company in Federal court. The complaint alleges that the company has engaged in a conspiracy to fix prices and restrict output, and that it has engaged in a series of predatory and discriminatory practices. The complaint also alleges that the company has engaged in a series of anti-competitive practices, including the purchase of large quantities of raw material at low prices, and the subsequent resale of the finished product at high prices. The Department of Justice is currently conducting a further investigation of the company's operations, and is seeking to obtain a permanent injunction against the company's anti-competitive practices. The Department is also seeking to obtain damages for the harm that has been caused by the company's practices.